Computer certified efficient exact reals in COQ

Robbert Krebbers Joint work with Bas Spitters¹

Radboud University Nijmegen

July 22, 2011 @ CICM, Bertinoro, Italy

¹The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's 7th Framework Programme under grant agreement nr. 243847 (ForMath).

Why do we need certified exact real arithmetic?

- There is a big gap between:
 - Numerical algorithms in research papers.
 - ► Actual implementations (MATHEMATICA, MATLAB, ...).
- This gap makes the code difficult to maintain.
- Makes it difficult to trust the code of these implementations!
- Undesirable in proofs that rely on the execution of this code.
 - Kepler conjecture.
 - Existence of the Lorentz attractor.

Why do we need certified exact real arithmetic?



(http://xkcd.com/217/)

Use constructive analysis to bridge this gap.

Moreover, one can further narrow the gap by using:

- Exact real numbers instead of floating point numbers.
- Functional programming instead of imperative programming.
- Dependent type theory.
- A proof assistant to verify the correctness proofs.
- Constructive mathematics to tightly connect mathematics with computations.

This talk

Improve performance of real number computation in Coq .

Coq:

- Proof assistant based on the calculus of inductive constructions.
- Both a pure functional programming language, and,
- a language for mathematical statements and proofs.

Real numbers:

- Cannot be represented exactly in a computer.
- Approximation by rational numbers.
- Or any set that is dense in the rationals (e.g. the dyadics).

Starting point: O'Connor's implementation in COQ

Based on metric spaces and the completion monad.

 $\mathbb{R} := \mathfrak{CQ} := \{ f : \mathbb{Q}_+ \to \mathbb{Q} \mid f \text{ is regular} \}$

- ► To define a function ℝ → ℝ: define a uniformly continuous function f : ℚ → ℝ, and obtain Ť : ℝ → ℝ.
- Efficient combination of proving and programming.

O'Connor's implementation in ${\rm COQ}$

Problem:

- ► A concrete representation of the rationals (COQ's Q) is used.
- Cannot swap implementations, e.g. use machine integers.

Solution:

Build theory and programs on top of abstract interfaces instead of concrete implementations.

- Cleaner.
- Mathematically sound.
- Can swap implementations.

Our contribution

An abstract specification of the dense set.

► For which we provide an implementation using the dyadics:

$$n * 2^e$$
 for $n, e \in \mathbb{Z}$

- Using CoQ's machine integers.
- Extend the algebraic hierarchy based on type classes by Spitters and van der Weegen to achieve this.

Some other performance improvements.

- Implement range reductions.
- Improve computation of power series:
 - Keep auxiliary results small.
 - Avoid evaluation of termination proofs.

Interfaces for mathematical structures

- Algebraic hierarchy (groups, rings, fields, ...)
- Relations, orders, ...
- Categories, functors, universal algebra, ...
- ▶ Numbers: ℕ, ℤ, ℚ, ℝ, . . .

Need solid representations of these, providing:

- Structure inference.
- Multiple inheritance/sharing.
- Convenient algebraic manipulation (e.g. rewriting).
- Idiomatic use of names and notations.

Spitters and van der Weegen: use type classes!

Type classes

- Useful for organizing interfaces of abstract structures.
- ► Akin to AXIOM's so-called categories.
- ► Great success in HASKELL and ISABELLE.
- Recently added to COQ.
- Based on already existing features (records, proof search, implicit arguments).

Spitters and van der Weegen's approach

Define operational type classes for operations and relations.

Class Equiv A := equiv: relation A. Infix "=" := equiv: type_scope. Class RingPlus A := ring_plus: A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A. Infix "+" := ring_plus.

Represent typical properties as predicate type classes.

Class LeftAbsorb '{Equiv A} {B} (op : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A) (x : A) : Prop := left_absorb: \forall y, op x y = x.

Represent algebraic structures as predicate type classes.

Class SemiRing A {e plus mult zero one} : Prop := { semiring_mult_monoid :> @CommutativeMonoid A e mult one ; semiring_plus_monoid :> @CommutativeMonoid A e plus zero ; semiring_distr :> Distribute (.*.) (+) ; semiring_left_absorb :> LeftAbsorb (.*.) 0 }.

Examples

```
Lemma preserves_inv '{Group A} '{Group B}

'{!Monoid_Morphism (f : A \rightarrow B)} x : f (-x) = -f x.

Proof.

apply (left_cancellation (&) (f x)). (* f x & f (-x) = f x - f x *)

rewrite \leftarrow preserves_sg_op. (* f (x - x) = f x - f x *)

rewrite 2!right_inverse. (* f unit = unit *)

apply preserves_mon_unit.

Qed.
```

```
Lemma cancel_ring_test '{Ring R} x y z : x + y = z + x \rightarrow y = z.
Proof.
```

```
intros. (* y = z *)
apply (left_cancellation (+) x). (* x + y = x + z *)
now rewrite (commutativity x z).
Qed.
```

Spitters and van der Weegen

- A standard algebraic hierarchy.
- Some category theory.
- Some universal algebra.
- Interfaces for number structures.
 - Naturals: initial semiring.
 - Integers: initial ring.
 - Rationals: field of fractions of \mathbb{Z} .

Some extensions of Spitters and van der Weegen

- ▶ Interfaces and theory for operations (nat_pow, shiftl, ...).
- ▶ Library on constructive order theory (ordered rings, etc...)
- Support for undecidable structures.
- Explicit casts.
- More implementations of abstract interfaces.

Approximate rationals

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Class AppDiv AQ} := \mbox{app_div} : AQ \rightarrow AQ \rightarrow Z \rightarrow AQ. \\ \mbox{Class AppApprox AQ} := \mbox{app_approx} : AQ \rightarrow Z \rightarrow AQ. \end{array}$

Class AppRationals AQ {e plus mult zero one inv} '{!Order AQ} {AQtoQ : Coerce AQ Q_as_MetricSpace} '{!AppInverse AQtoQ} {ZtoAQ : Coerce Z AQ} '{!AppDiv AQ} '{!AppApprox AQ} '{!Abs AQ} '{!Pow AQ N} '{!ShiftL AQ Z} $\{\forall x y : AQ, Decision (x = y)\}$ $\{\forall x y : AQ, Decision (x \le y)\}$: Prop := { $aq_ring :> @Ring AQ e plus mult zero one inv;$ ag_order_embed :> OrderEmbedding AQtoQ ; aq_ring_morphism :> SemiRing_Morphism AQtoQ ; ag_dense_embedding :> DenseEmbedding AQtoQ ; aq_div : $\forall x y k$, \mathbf{B}_{2^k} ('app_div x y k) ('x / 'y) ; aq_approx : $\forall x k, \mathbf{B}_{2k}(app_approx x k)$ ('x) ; $ag_shift :> ShiftLSpec AQ Z (\ll)$; aq_nat_pow :> NatPowSpec AQ N (^); ag_ints_mor :> SemiRing_Morphism ZtoAQ }.

Approximate rationals

Compress

. . .

```
\begin{array}{l} {\sf Class \ AppDiv \ AQ:= app\_div: AQ \rightarrow AQ \rightarrow Z \rightarrow AQ.} \\ {\sf Class \ AppApprox \ AQ:= app\_approx: AQ \rightarrow Z \rightarrow AQ.} \\ {\sf Class \ AppRationals \ AQ \ \ldots: Prop:= } \left\{ \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{aq\_div}: \forall \ x \ y \ k, \ \mathbf{B}_{2^k}(\texttt{'app\_div} \ x \ y \ k) \ (\texttt{'x} \ / \ \texttt{'y}) \ ; \\ \mathsf{aq\_approx}: \forall \ x \ k, \ \mathbf{B}_{2^k}(\texttt{'app\_approx} \ x \ k) \ (\texttt{'x}) \ ; \\ \dots \end{array}
```

- ▶ app_approx is used to to keep the size of the numbers "small".
- Define compress := bind (λ ε, app_approx x (Qdlog2 ε)) such that compress x = x.
- Greatly improves the performance [O'Connor].

Power series

Well suited for computation if:

- its coefficients are alternating,
- decreasing,
- and have limit 0.
- For example, for $-1 \le x \le 0$:

$$\exp x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}$$

• To approximate $\exp x$ with error ε we find a k such that:

$$\frac{x^k}{k!} \leq \varepsilon$$

Power series

Problem: we do not have exact division.

- Parametrize InfiniteAlternatingSum with streams n and d representing the numerators and denominators to postpone divisions.
- Need to find both the length and precision of division.



• Thus, to approximate $\exp x$ with error ε we need a k such that:

$$\mathbf{B}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\left(\operatorname{app_div} n_k \ d_k \ \left(\operatorname{log}\frac{\varepsilon}{2k}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}\right) 0.$$

Power series

- Computing the length can be optimized using shifts.
- Our approach only requires to compute few extra terms.
- Approximate division keeps the auxiliary numbers "small".
- We applied a trick to avoid evaluation of termination proofs.

Extending the exponential to its complete domain

We extend the exponential to its complete domain by repeatedly applying:

$$\exp x = (\exp (x \ll 1))^2$$

▶ Performance improves significantly by reducing the input to a value between -2^k ≤ x ≤ 0 for 50 ≤ k.

What have we implemented so far?

Verified versions of:

- Basic field operations (+, *, -, /)
- Exponentiation by a natural.
- Computation of power series.
- exp, arctan, sin and cos.
- $\pi := 176 * \arctan \frac{1}{57} + 28 * \arctan \frac{1}{239} 48 * \arctan \frac{1}{682} + 96 * \arctan \frac{1}{12943}$.
- Square root using Wolfram iteration.

Benchmarks

- Our HASKELL prototype is ~ 15 times faster.
- Our Coq implementation is ~ 100 times faster.
- For example:
 - ▶ 500 decimals of exp $(\pi * \sqrt{163})$ and sin (exp 1),
 - 2000 decimals of exp 1000,

within 10 seconds in $\mathrm{Coq}!$

- (Previously about 10 decimals)
- ► Type classes only yield a 3% performance loss.
- COQ is still too slow compared to unoptimized HASKELL (factor 30 for Wolfram iteration).

Further work

- Newton iteration to compute the square root.
- Geometric series (e.g. to compute In).
- ▶ native_compute: evaluation by compilation to OCAML.
- ► FLOCQ: more fine grained floating point algorithms.
- Type classified theory on metric spaces.

Conclusions

- Greatly improved the performance of the reals.
- Abstract interfaces allow to swap implementations and share theory and proofs.
- Type classes yield no apparent performance penalty.
- Nice notations with unicode symbols.

Issues:

- Type classes are quite fragile.
- Instance resolution is too slow.
- Need to adapt definitions to avoid evaluation in Prop.



http://robbertkrebbers.nl/research/reals/